$~68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010550742026**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 2106/2026 & CM APPL.79216/2026 (Ex.)

SHREE RAM AYURVEDIC MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.A. Niyazi, Senior Advocate with Ms. Anamika Ghai Niyazi, Ms. Kirti Bhardwaj and Mr. Deepanshu Tomar, Advocates

versus

DR MANEESHA UPENDRA KHOHEKAR .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kumar Prashant, Advocate for R-1 to 3
Ms. Arti Bansal, CGSC for UOI

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR

ORDER

% 19.11.2026

1. The present Contempt Petition has been received on transfer.

2. The present Contempt Petition, instituted under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Sections 2(b) and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, seeks initiation of civil contempt proceedings against the alleged contemnors for wilful disobedience and non-compliance with the Judgment/ Order dated 06.11.2026 passed by a Co-Ordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 15892/2026.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent, on instructions, submits that the candidates who have applied for admission to the Petitioner-Institution as well as the College may be

19/11/26
Court Master
High Court of Delhi
New Delhi

permitted to participate in the counselling process presently underway, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the Respondent to take such action as may be permissible in law.

4. In the aforesaid submission, the present Petition, along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Copy of this Order be given *dasti* under the signatures of Court Master.

Sd/-

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

NOVEMBER 19, 2026/rk/ma

19/11/26.

Court Master
High Court of Delhi
New Delhi

$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010517202026**

\+ W.P.(C) 15892/2026 & CM APPL. 74411/2026

SHREE RAM AYURVEDIC MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.A. Niyazi Sr. Adv. With Ms. Anamika Ghai Niyazi Adv. Ms. Kirti Bhardwaj Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Kumar Prashant and Miss.Simran Chhabra, Advs

Ms. Arti Bansal CGSC with Ms. Yashika Rawal Adv. for UOI

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

O R D E R

% 06.11.2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

"(a) by way of an appropriate Writ, order or direction in the nature of a mandamus or certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 26.08.2026 as well as further the impugned order dated 08.09.2026 passed in the name of President, MARBIS imposing a monetary penalty of Rs. l ,00,00,000/- on the Petitioner, withholding the teacher code 13 faculties and denying permission to the Petitioner to take admission of the students for the Academic Session 2026-27

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 07/11/2026 at 15:44:20

and further quash the impugned appellate order dated 21-10-2026 & 28.04.2026.

(b) issue appropriate writ, order or direction for directing Respondents No. 1 to 3 to grant I continue the permission to participation in the counselling process and seek admission of the students for BAMS UG for the Academic Session 2026-27 with an intake of 60 seats. …"

2. Mr. Prashant, learned counsel for the respondent No. 1-2, has argued the matter.

3. Mr. Niyazi, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, states that in the present case, the show-cause notice dated 19.01.2022 is not a show-cause in the eyes of law as it only contains the allegations and not the proposed actions sought to be taken. In support of the submission relies on ***Gorkha Security Services v. Government (NCT of Delhi) & Ors. (2014) 9 SCC 105.***

4. Additionally, he states that even though the show-cause notice alleges a non-compliance on the basis of a surprise inspection dated 17.03.2026, the respondents conducted a full-fledged 2 days inspection on 11.05.2026-12.05.2026, wherein no deficiency (including no faculty) was found.

5. Despite the same, respondent No. 1-2 only confined its finding to the allegation in the so-called notice dated 17.03.2026. Lastly, he relies on point No. (i) of the letter dated 06.05.2026, which reads as under:-

"(i) With regard to shortcoming in AEBAS, the college is instructed to submit the relevant CCTV footage of the AEBAS attendance capture of the teaching faculties for the last 3 months to support the claim of their regularity or any other relevant documents....."

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 07/11/2026 at 15:44:20

6. After some arguments, Mr. Niyazi, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, states that since the last issue has already been adjudicate, without prejudice to the other two contentions, his grievance will stand addressed, if the petition is disposed of in view of the Order dated 27.10.2026 in W.P.(C) No. 15288/2026.

7. Based on parity and applying the same ratio as stated in the aforesaid Order dated 27.10.2026, the impugned orders cannot be sustained and are hereby set aside. Resultantly, all consequential benefits shall follow.

8. Even though uploading of the Order may take time, the petitioner as well as respondent No. 1-2 shall communicate the Order as dictated in the Court to the Counselling authority as well.

9. With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2026/NR

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 07/11/2026 at 15:44:20